## A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist.

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at <a href="https://www.inahta.org">www.inahta.org</a>

Reference of report	Cite as: Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health. CADTH therapeutic review. Direct-acting antiviral agents for chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 [Internet]. Ottawa: The Agency; 2014 Oct. (CADTH Therapeutic Review vol.2, no.2b). Available from: http://www.cadth.ca/en/products/therapeutic-reviews/chronic-hep-c/reports ISSN: 1929-7440
Organization (acronym)	Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH)
Name of person completing the checklist	Gaetanne Murphy
Email	gaetannem@cadth.ca

Item								Yes	P	Partly	No	
Preliminary							<u> </u>					
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?								Х				
2. Authors identified?								Х				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?								Х				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?								Х				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?											х	
Why?												
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?								Х				
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?								Х				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?								Х				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?								Х				
How?												
10. Details on so	urces of	informati	on a	nd literature :	search	strategies p	rovide	ed?				
Search	Data	bases	Year			Language		Primary		Other kind of		
strategy				range	re	estriction		data	informatior resources			
Yes	Y	Yes		Yes		Yes		yes		yes		
Complete refere	nce list		Lis	t of		Inclusion			Exclusion			
of included stu			excluded studies			criteria			criteria			
yes			Υ	es		yes		yes				
11. Information of		for the as	sess	ment and int				ata and	info	rmation'	?	
Method of data extraction described?		Critical appraisal method (for quality assessment of the				Method of data		Results of the				
					synthesis described?			assessment clearly				
				lescribed?					presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?			
yes		,		yes		yes		yes				
Context?	•			•				•				
12. Information of	n contex	t (may or	may	not apply to ea	ach HT.	A).						
(Medico-) legal	Economic			Ethical		Social		Other perspectives				
implications		analysis		implications		implications			(stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?			
considered?	considered? provided? no yes			considered? yes*		considered? yes		cons	yes†			
		усо		усо		l yes		Yes		Partly	No	
What then?								162		aruy	140	

13. Findings of the assessment discussed?	Х	
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	Х	
15. Suggestions for further action?	х	

<sup>\*</sup>Ethical implications were considered on an implicit basis.

<sup>†</sup>CADTH provides an opportunity for feedback from all stakeholders (including patients, caregivers, healthcare providers, and industry) at multiple touch points during the review process.